

## **COST/BENEFITS OF LAND USE OPTIONS IN SCARBOROUGH**

January 20, 2000

Prepared for:  
Town of Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

Prepared by:  
Planning Decisions, Inc.

As part of the Growth and Services project, Planning Decisions developed models that provide estimates of the fiscal costs/benefits of land use options. These include cost/benefit models for single-family subdivisions, condo & luxury apartments, multi-family units, parcels acquired for conservation of open space, retail commercial, large office commercial, and manufacturing. The cost/benefit balance sheets for each model are shown in Tables 1-7. Following the results of the models, the detailed model assumptions and data sources are presented.

The models present hypothetical land uses and development based on a sample of properties in Scarborough. Data for the sample profiles is from actual assessment data provided by the Town Assessing Office. **These models were designed for use by the Growth and Services Committee for developing policy options regarding future growth, development, services, and fiscal conditions in the community. The fiscal impacts of specific properties and land uses will vary from case to case based on numerous variables which include most notably, property valuation, households generated, enrollments generated, and impacts on the formula for State General Purpose Aid to Education. These models were not meant to be applied generically to specific properties or developments.**

The data presented in the tables provides an estimation of the annual current cost and benefits of land use types in Scarborough. The estimations are based on 1998 fiscal data (from the Audited Financial Statements of Scarborough) and current service, facility, staffing, and equipment levels and qualities in the community. In projecting future costs and benefits of development, potential significant changes in demands for these variables needs to be factored in, most notably, the impacts of development on future needs for school facilities.

Tables 1-3 present the results of the residential development models including single-family subdivisions, condo's, and multi-family units. Table 4 presents results of a model for preservation of open space and conservation land. This is modeled based on recent acquisitions of the Scarborough Land Trust. Tables 5-7 present the results of the commercial development models including corporate office, retail, and manufacturing.

The commercial models include two kinds of impacts: primary and secondary. The primary impacts represent direct costs and benefits that are driven by the property and its use (i.e. taxes paid, services received, impacts on formulas). The secondary impacts represent the impacts on residential development driven by employment added by business development. It should be noted that for each of the commercial development models, secondary impacts were estimated based on the estimated potential number of households that would locate in Scarborough and the net fiscal results of the single-family residential impact model. It should also be noted that secondary cost impacts may occur regardless of whether the development occurred within Scarborough or within nearby communities.

## **Findings**

The following table summarizes the results of the fiscal cost/benefit models:

**Summary of Annual Net Fiscal Impacts of Land Use Alternatives - Town of Scarborough**

Land Use Alternative	Ann. Net Fiscal Impact (Benefits-Costs) in \$	Key factors driving results
Single-family Subdivision	-1,194 per unit	highest average valuation per unit of residential, but also highest number of pupils generated; net impact=added State school aid along with property tax revenues for education do not cover added school costs
Condo & Luxury Apartments	+597 per unit	slightly lower valuation per unit than single-family, but very few pupils generated; net impact=property tax revenues for education minus State School aid loss more than adequately cover added school cost; also no municipal costs associated with new roads and solid waste
Multi-family units	-1,282 per unit	low valuation per unit results in low property taxes received per unit, less pupils generated than single-family but more than condo; net impact=added State school aid along with property tax revenues for education do not cover added school costs
Open Space Conservation	+3 per acre	low direct fiscal benefits but extremely low direct costs; no school costs; net impact=slight net benefit, no major fiscal implications
Large Office	(per hypothetical business) primary +59,483 secondary -74,068 combined -14,584	high valuation generates significant property tax revenues but these are reduced by state & county formula impacts; large number of employees creates potential for significant residential demand which can lead to high negative secondary impacts; net fiscal impacts (primary)=fiscal benefit; (secondary)=fiscal loss, (combined)=potential fiscal loss unless secondary impacts can be minimized
Large Retail	(per hypothetical business) primary +11,405 secondary -10,802 combined +604	Lower valuation than office generates less property tax revenues but also less reduction in revenues through formula impacts; higher costs for public safety than other commercial alternatives; less secondary impacts than office as a result of fewer employees who would potentially be in the Scarborough housing market; net fiscal impacts (primary)=slight fiscal benefit; (secondary)=slight fiscal loss, (combined)=neutral to slight fiscal benefit
Manufac. (small to med size facility)	(per hypothetical business) primary +20,271 secondary -7,715 combined +12,556	lower valuation than office generates less property tax revenues but also has less reduction in revenues through formula impacts; lowest costs for public safety than other commercial alternatives; less secondary impacts than office and retail as a result of fewer employees who would potentially be in the Scarborough housing market; net fiscal

## **Issues & Implications of Cost/Benefits of Land Use Alternatives**

### *Regarding Residential Development*

Residential development that generates pupils results in net negative fiscal impacts. The potential negative fiscal impacts seem significant enough (as is the case of the single-family and multi-family models) to warrant consideration by the Growth & Services Committee of options for slowing the rate of residential growth and/or options for having more of the costs associated with residential development being born by households that drive costs. Options to consider include building permit limits, impact fees, reduction of land that is available for residential development, and increased user fees for services used by residences including rescue, recreation, extra-curricular school activities, and solid waste disposal. Residential development that does not produce significant number of pupils in relation to valuation can potentially be a net fiscal benefit for the community for example luxury condo or assisted living facilities.

### *Regarding Open Space*

This land use option as modeled produced no significant fiscal implications (benefits or costs). However, if preservation of open space removes land that would otherwise be used for residential development, this option could lead to avoidance of negative fiscal impacts associated with single-family and multi-family development. This strategy needs to be evaluated in conjunction with concerns regarding personal property rights, land acquisition costs, and the potential of land for residential development.

### *Regarding Commercial Development*

The primary fiscal benefits of commercial development are significantly reduced by impacts on formulas for State education aid, Revenue Sharing, and the County tax (on average Scarborough retains only 52% of property tax revenues generated by commercial development as a result of formula impacts). This finding warrants further consideration of utilizing mechanisms to reduce these formula impacts, most notably, Tax Increment Financing.

Costs to service commercial development will vary depending on the type of facility, business being conducted, number of employees, and location of the development. These factors warrant further evaluation when considering specific future development proposals.

Secondary negative impacts of commercial development (added employment leading to increased potential development) could become substantial and erode primary benefits depending on the types and wages of jobs added. Therefore, strategies to increase commercial development activity should be considered in conjunction with residential growth strategies. Based on the models presented, commercial development that has low secondary impacts and low potential service costs, offers the best fiscal opportunity for the community (see the manufacturing model).

<b>Table 1: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		<b>Single-Family Subdivision Built Since 1990</b>	
<b>1</b>	Number of Residential Units	20	
<b>2</b>	Persons Per Residential Unit	3.2	
<b>3</b>	Total Persons Added from Development	64	
<b>4</b>	K-12 Enrollments Per Residential Unit	0.784	
<b>5</b>	K-12 Enrollments Added from Development	15.68	
<b>6</b>	Average Assessed Value per Housing Unit	\$180,000	
<b>7</b>	Total Assessed Value from Development	\$3,600,000	
<b>8</b>	Road Miles Added per Housing Unit	0.014	
<b>9</b>	Length of Road (Miles) Added from Development	0.28	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts</b>			
<b>Annual Benefits</b>			
<b>10</b>	Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40	\$66,240	
<b>11</b>	Excise Taxes	\$7,074	
<b>12</b>	All Other Own-Source Revenues	\$7,781	
<b>13</b>	<b>Total Own-Source Revenues</b>	<b>\$81,096</b>	
<b>14</b>	State GPA Education	\$26,334	
<b>15</b>	State Revenue Sharing	\$1,491	
<b>16</b>	All Other Intergovernmental	\$819	
<b>17</b>	<b>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</b>	<b>\$28,644</b>	
<b>18</b>	<b>Total Estimated Benefits</b>	<b>\$109,739</b>	
<b>Annual Costs</b>			
<b>19</b>	General Government	\$8,661	
<b>20</b>	Public Services	\$5,821	
<b>21</b>	Public Safety	\$8,162	
<b>22</b>	Public Works	\$7,404	
<b>23</b>	New Road Maintenance Costs	\$2,240	
<b>24</b>	Total Municipal Operating Costs	\$32,288	
<b>25</b>	Education Operating Costs	\$76,414	
<b>26</b>	Increase in County Tax	\$2,438	
<b>27</b>	<b>Total Operating Costs</b>	<b>\$111,141</b>	
<b>28</b>	Municipal Debt Service	\$6,500	
<b>29</b>	School Debt Service	\$15,976	
<b>30</b>	<b>Total Annual Debt Costs</b>	<b>\$22,476</b>	
<b>31</b>	<b>Total Estimated Costs</b>	<b>\$133,616</b>	
<b>32</b>	<b>Net Annual Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Development</b>	<b>(-\$23,877)</b>	
<b>33</b>	<b>Net Annual Impact Per Household</b>	<b>(\$1,194)</b>	

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

<b>Table 2: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		Condo & Luxury Apartment built since 1980	
1	Number of Residential Units	20	
2	Persons Per Residential Unit	2.0	
3	Total Persons Added from Development	40	
4	K-12 Enrollments Per Residential Unit	0.046	
5	K-12 Enrollments Added from Development	0.92	
6	Average Assessed Value per Housing Unit	\$150,000	
7	Total Assessed Value from Development	\$3,000,000	
8	Road Miles Added per Housing Unit	0	
9	Length of Road (Miles) Added from Development	0	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts</b>			
<b>Annual Benefits</b>			
10	Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40	\$55,200	
11	Excise Taxes	\$7,074	
12	All Other Own-Source Revenues	\$6,687	
13	<b>Total Own-Source Revenues</b>	<b>\$68,962</b>	
14	State GPA Education	(\$18,226)	
15	State Revenue Sharing	\$192	
16	All Other Intergovernmental	\$819	
17	<b>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</b>	<b>(\$17,215)</b>	
18	<b>Total Estimated Benefits</b>	<b>\$51,746</b>	
<b>Annual Costs</b>			
19	General Government	\$8,661	
20	Public Services	\$4,477	
21	Public Safety	\$8,162	
22	Public Works	\$4,551	
23	New Road Maintenance Costs	\$0	
24	Total Municipal Operating Costs	\$25,852	
25	Education Operating Costs	\$4,483	
26	Increase in County Tax	\$2,032	
27	<b>Total Operating Costs</b>	<b>\$32,367</b>	
28	Municipal Debt Service	\$6,500	
29	School Debt Service	\$937	
30	<b>Total Annual Debt Costs</b>	<b>\$7,437</b>	
31	<b>Total Estimated Costs</b>	<b>\$39,804</b>	
32	<b>Net Annual Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Development</b>	<b>\$11,942</b>	
33	<b>Net Annual Impact Per Household</b>	<b>\$597</b>	

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

<b>Table 3: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		<b>Multi-Family Complex built since 1970</b>	
<b>1</b>	Number of Residential Units	20	
<b>2</b>	Persons Per Residential Unit	2.2	
<b>3</b>	Total Persons Added from Development	44	
<b>4</b>	K-12 Enrollments Per Residential Unit	0.346	
<b>5</b>	K-12 Enrollments Added from Development	6.92	
<b>6</b>	Average Assessed Value per Housing Unit	\$33,000	
<b>7</b>	Total Assessed Value from Development	\$660,000	
<b>8</b>	Road Miles Added per Housing Unit	0	
<b>9</b>	Length of Road (Miles) Added from Development	0	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts</b>			
<b>Annual Benefits</b>			
<b>10</b>	Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40	\$12,144	
<b>11</b>	Excise Taxes	\$7,074	
<b>12</b>	All Other Own-Source Revenues	\$6,828	
<b>13</b>	<b>Total Own-Source Revenues</b>	<b>\$26,047</b>	
<b>14</b>	State GPA Education	\$18,462	
<b>15</b>	State Revenue Sharing	\$2,823	
<b>16</b>	All Other Intergovernmental	\$819	
<b>17</b>	<b>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</b>	<b>\$22,104</b>	
<b>18</b>	<b>Total Estimated Benefits</b>	<b>\$48,150</b>	
<b>Annual Costs</b>			
<b>19</b>	General Government	\$8,661	
<b>20</b>	Public Services	\$4,701	
<b>21</b>	Public Safety	\$8,162	
<b>22</b>	Public Works	\$4,551	
<b>23</b>	New Road Maintenance Costs	\$0	
<b>24</b>	Total Municipal Operating Costs	\$26,076	
<b>25</b>	Education Operating Costs	\$33,724	
<b>26</b>	Increase in County Tax	\$447	
<b>27</b>	<b>Total Operating Costs</b>	<b>\$60,246</b>	
<b>28</b>	Municipal Debt Service	\$6,500	
<b>29</b>	School Debt Service	\$7,051	
<b>30</b>	<b>Total Annual Debt Costs</b>	<b>\$13,550</b>	
<b>31</b>	<b>Total Estimated Costs</b>	<b>\$73,797</b>	
<b>32</b>	<b>Net Annual Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Development</b>	<b>(\$25,646)</b>	
<b>33</b>	<b>Net Annual Impact Per Household</b>	<b>(\$1,282)</b>	

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

<b>Table 4: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		<b>Acquisition of Land for Conservation</b>	
1 Acres Acquired		30	
2 Households Added from Open Space Parcel		0	
3 Persons Added from Open Space Parcel		0	
4 Enrollments Added from Open Space Parcel		0	
5 Average Assessed Value per Acre		\$267	
6 Assessed Value from Open Space Parcel		\$8,000	
7 Road Miles Added per Acre of Open Space		0	
8 Length of Road (Miles) Added from Open Space		0	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts</b>			
<b>Annual Benefits</b>			
9 Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40		\$147	
10 Excise Taxes		\$0	
11 All Other Own-Source Revenues		\$3	
12 Total Own-Source Revenues		\$150	
13 State GPA Education		(\$13)	
14 State Revenue Sharing		(\$8)	
15 All Other Intergovernmental		\$0	
16 Total Intergovernmental Revenues		(\$21)	
17 Total Estimated Benefits			\$130
<b>Annual Costs</b>			
18 General Government		\$8	
19 Public Services		\$18	
20 Public Safety		\$8	
21 Public Works		\$1	
22 New Road Maintenance Costs		\$0	
23 Total Municipal Operating Costs		\$35	
24 Education Operating Costs		\$0	
25 Increase in County Tax		\$5	
26 Total Operating Costs		\$40	
27 Municipal Debt Service		\$6	
28 School Debt Service		\$0	
29 Total Annual Debt Costs		\$6	
30 Total Estimated Costs			\$46
31 Net Annual Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Open Space Parcel			\$84
32 Net Annual Impact Per Acre			\$3

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

<b>Table 5: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		<b>Hypothetical New Corporate Office</b>	
<b>1</b>	Acres for Development	11	
<b>2</b>	Total Building Square Feet	100,000	
<b>3</b>	Employees Total	800	
<b>4</b>	Scarborough Resident Employees	120	
<b>5</b>	Total Assessed Value of Development	\$20,000,000	
<b>6</b>	Potential Households Added from Development	62	
<b>7</b>	Potential Persons Added from Development	199	
<b>8</b>	Potential Enrollments Added from Development	49	
<b>9</b>	Road Miles Added from Development (Primary)	0	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts (Primary excl. residential impacts)</b>			
<b>Annual Primary Benefits</b>			
<b>10</b>	Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40	\$368,000	
<b>11</b>	Excise Taxes	\$44,507	
<b>12</b>	All Other Own-Source Revenues	\$28,905	
<b>13</b>	<b>Total Own-Source Revenues</b>	<b>\$441,412</b>	
<b>14</b>	State GPA Education	(\$140,628)	
<b>15</b>	State Revenue Sharing	(\$19,453)	
<b>16</b>	All Other Intergovernmental	\$6,532	
<b>17</b>	<b>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</b>	<b>(\$153,549)</b>	
<b>18</b>	<b>Total Estimated Primary Benefits</b>	<b>\$287,863</b>	
<b>Annual Primary Costs</b>			
<b>19</b>	General Government	\$55,054	
<b>20</b>	Public Services	\$10,606	
<b>21</b>	Public Safety	\$52,259	
<b>22</b>	Public Works	\$55,312	
<b>23</b>	New Road Maintenance Costs	\$0	
<b>24</b>	Total Municipal Operating Costs	\$173,231	
<b>25</b>	Education Operating Costs	\$0	
<b>26</b>	Increase in County Tax	\$13,533	
<b>27</b>	<b>Total Operating Costs</b>	<b>\$186,764</b>	
<b>28</b>	Municipal Debt Service	\$41,616	
<b>29</b>	School Debt Service	\$0	
<b>30</b>	<b>Total Annual Debt Costs</b>	<b>\$41,616</b>	
<b>31</b>	<b>Total Estimated Primary Costs</b>	<b>\$228,380</b>	
<b>32</b>	<b>Net Annual Primary Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Development</b>	<b>\$59,483</b>	
<b>33</b>	<b>Net Annual Secondary Impact from Residential Development</b>	<b>(\$74,068)</b>	
<b>34</b>	<b>Net Annual Primary &amp; Secondary Impact from Development</b>	<b>(\$14,584)</b>	

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

<b>Table 6: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		<b>Hypothetical Large Retail Development</b>	
<b>1</b>	Acres for Development	12	
<b>2</b>	Total Building Square Feet	75,000	
<b>3</b>	Employees Total	175	
<b>4</b>	Scarborough Resident Employees	18	
<b>5</b>	Total Assessed Value of Development	\$6,000,000	
<b>6</b>	Potential Households Added from Development	9	
<b>7</b>	Potential Persons Added from Development	29	
<b>8</b>	Potential Enrollments Added from Development	7	
<b>9</b>	Road Miles Added from Development (Primary)	0	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts (Primary excl. residential impacts)</b>			
<b>Annual Primary Benefits</b>			
<b>10</b>	Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40	\$110,400	
<b>11</b>	Excise Taxes	\$13,352	
<b>12</b>	All Other Own-Source Revenues	\$8,671	
<b>13</b>	<b>Total Own-Source Revenues</b>	<b>\$132,424</b>	
<b>14</b>	State GPA Education	(\$42,284)	
<b>15</b>	State Revenue Sharing	(\$5,906)	
<b>16</b>	All Other Intergovernmental	\$1,960	
<b>17</b>	<b>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</b>	<b>(\$46,230)</b>	
<b>18</b>	<b>Total Estimated Primary Benefits</b>	<b>\$86,193</b>	
<b>Annual Primary Costs</b>			
<b>19</b>	General Government	\$16,516	
<b>20</b>	Public Services	\$3,182	
<b>21</b>	Public Safety	\$21,949	
<b>22</b>	Public Works	\$16,594	
<b>23</b>	New Road Maintenance Costs	\$0	
<b>24</b>	Total Municipal Operating Costs	\$58,240	
<b>25</b>	Education Operating Costs	\$0	
<b>26</b>	Increase in County Tax	\$4,063	
<b>27</b>	<b>Total Operating Costs</b>	<b>\$62,303</b>	
<b>28</b>	Municipal Debt Service	\$12,485	
<b>29</b>	School Debt Service	\$0	
<b>30</b>	<b>Total Annual Debt Costs</b>	<b>\$12,485</b>	
<b>31</b>	<b>Total Estimated Primary Costs</b>	<b>\$74,788</b>	
<b>32</b>	<b>Net Annual Primary Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Development</b>	<b>\$11,405</b>	
<b>33</b>	<b>Net Annual Secondary Impact from Residential Development</b>	<b>(\$10,802)</b>	
<b>34</b>	<b>Net Annual Primary &amp; Secondary Impact from Development</b>	<b>\$604</b>	

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee

<b>Table 7: Cost Benefit Analysis - Town of Scarborough</b>			
<b>Development Profile</b>		<b>Hypothetical Manufacturing Development</b>	
<b>1</b>	Acres for Development	7	
<b>2</b>	Total Building Square Feet	55,000	
<b>3</b>	Employees Total	125	
<b>4</b>	Scarborough Resident Employees	13	
<b>5</b>	Total Assessed Value of Development	\$5,000,000	
<b>6</b>	Potential Households Added from Development	6	
<b>7</b>	Potential Persons Added from Development	21	
<b>8</b>	Potential Enrollments Added from Development	5	
<b>9</b>	Road Miles Added from Development (Primary)	0	
<b>Net Annual Fiscal Impacts (Primary excl. residential impacts)</b>			
<b>Annual Primary Benefits</b>			
<b>10</b>	Property Taxes @ 1998 Mill rate of 18.40	\$92,000	
<b>11</b>	Excise Taxes	\$11,127	
<b>12</b>	All Other Own-Source Revenues	\$7,226	
<b>13</b>	<b>Total Own-Source Revenues</b>	<b>\$110,353</b>	
<b>14</b>	State GPA Education	(\$34,917)	
<b>15</b>	State Revenue Sharing	(\$4,926)	
<b>16</b>	All Other Intergovernmental	\$1,633	
<b>17</b>	<b>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</b>	<b>(\$38,210)</b>	
<b>18</b>	<b>Total Estimated Primary Benefits</b>	<b>\$72,143</b>	
<b>Annual Primary Costs</b>			
<b>19</b>	General Government	\$13,763	
<b>20</b>	Public Services	\$2,652	
<b>21</b>	Public Safety	\$7,839	
<b>22</b>	Public Works	\$13,828	
<b>23</b>	New Road Maintenance Costs	\$0	
<b>24</b>	Total Municipal Operating Costs	\$38,082	
<b>25</b>	Education Operating Costs	\$0	
<b>26</b>	Increase in County Tax	\$3,386	
<b>27</b>	<b>Total Operating Costs</b>	<b>\$41,468</b>	
<b>28</b>	Municipal Debt Service	\$10,404	
<b>29</b>	School Debt Service	\$0	
<b>30</b>	<b>Total Annual Debt Costs</b>	<b>\$10,404</b>	
<b>31</b>	<b>Total Estimated Primary Costs</b>	<b>\$51,872</b>	
<b>32</b>	<b>Net Annual Primary Impact (Benefits-Costs) for Development</b>	<b>\$20,271</b>	
<b>33</b>	<b>Net Annual Secondary Impact from Residential Development</b>	<b>(\$7,715)</b>	
<b>34</b>	<b>Net Annual Primary &amp; Secondary Impact from Development</b>	<b>\$12,556</b>	

Prepared by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Growth & Services Committee







**Cost & Revenue Allocation factors**

Residential % of Valuation	76.648%
Commercial & Industrial % of Valuation	23.300%
Open Space % of Valuation	0.052%
Residential % factor w/ open space excl.	77%
Comm & Ind % factor w/ open space excl.	23%
# of estimated Households -1998	5,821
Total Valuation Commercial -1998	276,374,185
Total Acreage Open Space - 1999 est	6,414

note: cost and revenue allocation factors have been selected by Planning Decisions based on several sources including % of valuation by land use category from Town Assessing Office & 1997 Jeremy Wintersteen study, "Economics of Land Conservation, A Study of Scarborough Maine"; or a factor derived from interviews with Department heads.

note: commercial includes all property that is used for commercial, industrial, or any other business uses.

note: these figures represent the average net impact of the land use category based on 1998 financial data; the net impacts of individual properties will vary and the average impact over time changes as a result of changes in marginal costs and benefits from a given change in land use

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
1	Scarborough Total Population	14,843	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions
2	Scarborough Total Households	5,821	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions
3	Scarborough Total K-12 Enrollments	2,766	1998-99	October 1st District Enrollment Report from Scarborough School Department
4	Scarborough Total K-8 Enrollments	2,058	1998-99	October 1st District Enrollment Report from Scarborough School Department
5	Scarborough Total 9-12 Enrollments	708	1998-99	October 1st District Enrollment Report from Scarborough School Department
6	Persons Per Household (Single-Family)	3.2	1990	Based on National Association of Home Buyers Profile of the New Home Buyer, 1990 household size for U.S. single-family homes selling for \$150,000+
7	Persons Per Household (Condo & Luxury Apartment)	2.0	recent	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1990 NAHB Profile of New Home Buyers for single-family attached homes & students per household from Scarborough Facility Study
8	ratio Person Per HH condo:single-family	0.625	recent	calculated by Planning Decisions
9	Persons Per Household (Multi-family Apartment)	2.2	1997	American Housing Survey, U.S. Census, Household Size for Renter Occupied Homes calculated by Planning Decisions
10	ratio Person Per HH multi:single-family	0.688	recent	calculated by Planning Decisions
11	K-12 Enrollments Per Household (Single-Family)	0.784	1998	Based on enrollments per housing unit for selected single-family subdivisions in Scarborough built since 1985; & 1998-99 enrollment data, study conducted by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Facilities Study. Winter 1999
12	K-12 Enrollments Per Household (Condo & Luxury Apartment)	0.046	1998	Based on enrollments per housing unit for selected condo & apartment units in Scarborough built since 1985; & 1998-99 enrollment data, study conducted by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Facilities Study. Winter 1999
13	K-12 Enrollments Per Household (Multi-family)	0.346	1998	Based on enrollments per housing unit for selected apartment units in Scarborough built since 1970; & 1998-99 enrollment data, study conducted by Planning Decisions for Scarborough Facilities Study. Winter 1999
14	Total Employment within Scarborough (regardless of place of residence)	6,658	1990	U.S Census Commuting Data

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
15	Scarborough Resident Employment that Works in Scarborough	1,718	1990	U.S Census Commuting Data
16	% of Employment Within Scarborough that Lives in Scarborough	25.8%	1990	U.S Census Commuting Data
17	Winter Road Miles - Scarborough	133	1994	Town of Scarborough Comprehensive Plan, 1994
18	Summer Road Miles - Scarborough	107	1994	Town of Scarborough Comprehensive Plan, 1994
19	Average Road Miles - Scarborough	120	1994	Town of Scarborough Comprehensive Plan, 1994
20	New Public Road Miles Per New Housing Unit (subdivision development)	0.014	current	Based on 150 feet of frontage shared between 2 homes; from Town Planner
21	New Public Road Miles Per New Housing Unit (condo development)	0	current	based on assumption that all new roads will be private
22	New Public Road Miles Per New Housing Unit (Apartment)	0	current	based on assumption that all new roads will be private
23	New Public Road Miles Added Per Acre of Open Space	0	current	based on assumption that new new public roads would be added to service open space
24	New Public Road Miles Added Per new commercial development	0	current	based on assumption that new new public roads would be added to service new commercial development
25	Total Open Space Acreage - Scarborough excluding active recreation	6,414	1994	Jeremy Wintersteen Study, Economics of Land Conservation-A Study of Scarborough Maine plus recent Libby River Farm Acquisition minus active recreation areas (playgrounds)
26	Total Assessed Value (Local) - Scarborough	\$1,186,155,300	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
27	Residential Value \$ - Scarborough	\$909,164,314	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on current percentage of valuation that is residential vs commercial from Town Assessing Office & open space estimate from 1997 study by Jeremy Wintersteen
28	Commercial & Industrial Value \$ - Scarborough	\$276,374,185	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on current percentage of valuation that is residential vs commercial from Town Assessing Office & open space estimate from 1997 study by Jeremy Wintersteen

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
29 Open Space Value \$ - Scarborough	\$616,801	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on current percentage of valuation that is residential vs commercial from Town Assessing Office & open space estimate from 1997 study by Jeremy Wintersteen
30 Residential Value % - Scarborough	76.648%	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on current percentage of valuation that is residential vs commercial from Town Assessing Office & open space estimate from 1997 study by Jeremy Wintersteen
31 Commercial & Industrial Value % - Scarborough	23.300%	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on current percentage of valuation that is residential vs commercial from Town Assessing Office & open space estimate from 1997 study by Jeremy Wintersteen
32 Open Space Value % - Scarborough	0.052%	1998	Estimated by Planning Decisions based on current percentage of valuation that is residential vs commercial from Town Assessing Office & open space estimate from 1997 study by Jeremy Wintersteen
33 Residential Value % factor when open space excluded - Scarborough	77%	1998	Estimated by Town Assessor's Office
34 Commercial & Industrial Value % factor open space excl - Scarborough	23%	1998	Estimated by Town Assessor's Office
35 Average Assessed Value of a Single-Family Unit (built since 1990)	\$180,000	1998	From Assessor's database, 1998 data, based on single-family homes built since 1985
36 Average Assessed Value of a Condo Unit	\$150,000	1998	From Assessor's database, 1998 data, based on condo's built since 1979
37 Average Assessed Value of an Apartment Unit	\$33,000	1998	From Assessor's database, 1998 data, based on apartments built since 1970
38 Tax Rate Local	0.0184	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
39 Excise Tax Revenues	\$2,674,069	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
40 Residential Excise Tax Revenues per household	\$354	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total excise taxes, % tax that is residential, and 1998 estimated households
41 Excise Tax Revenues per Acre of Open Space	\$0	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total excise taxes, % tax that is space related households
42 Excise Tax Revenues per \$ of commercial valuation	\$0.0022254	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total excise taxes, % tax that is commercial and total commerical valuation in Scarborough

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
43	All Other Own-Source Revenues (excl prop, excise, and intergov.)	\$2,664,796	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total own source revenues excluded property and excise and % residential factor
44	All Other Own-Source Revenues Resid PHH (excl prop, excise, and intergov.)	\$389	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total own source revenues excluded property and excise, % residential factor, and 1998 households
45	All Other Own-Source Rev Cond PHH (excl prop, exc. inter. RWS PILOT & adi comm serv revs)	\$334	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total own source revenues excluded property, excise, & Regional Waste Pilot, % residential factor, and 1998 households, & community service condo adjustment
46	All Other Own-Source Rev Apart PHH (excl prop, exc. inter. RWS PILOT & adi comm serv revs)	\$341	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total own source revenues excluded property, excise, & Regional Waste Pilot, % residential factor, and 1998 households & community service apartment adjustment
47	All Other Own-Source Open Space Per Acre	\$0.1019784	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total own source revenues excluded property and excise, % open space factor, and 1998 estimated open space acreage
48	All Other Own-Source Commercial Per \$ of Valuation	\$0.0014452	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total own source revenues excluded property and excise, % commercial factor, and 1998 total commercial valuation
49	State Revenue Sharing Revenues Base	\$1,059,009	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
50	Residential State Revenue Sharing Revenues PHH (Base)	\$139	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total rev shar, % residential factor, and 1998 households
51	Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues (Single-Family)	\$1,491	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new sf units with average value of \$180,000
52	Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues PHH Residential (Single-Family)	\$75	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new sf units with average value of \$180,000 expressed per unit
53	Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues (Condo)	\$192	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new condo units with average value of \$150,000 and 2 persons per HH
54	Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues PHH Residential (Condo)	\$10	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new condo units with average value of \$150,000 expressed per unit and 2 person per HH
55	Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues (Apartment)	\$2,823	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new apartment units with average value of \$33,000 and 2.2 persons per unit
56	Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues PHH Residential (Apartment)	\$141	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new apartment units with average value of \$33,000 expressed per unit

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
Open Space Revenue Sharing Revenues Per acre 57 (base)	\$0.0858567	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total rev shar, % open space factor
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues 58 (Open Space)	(\$8)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 30 acres added open space acreage
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues per 59 Acre (Open Space)	(\$0.26666667)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 30 acres added open space and \$267 of value per acre
Commercial Revenue Sharing Revenues Per \$ of 60 Valuation (base)	\$0.0008928	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total rev shar, % commercial factor
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues 61 (Office)	(\$19,453)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$20,000 valuation added
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues per \$ 62 of Valuation (office)	(\$0.0009727)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$20,000 valuation added expressed per \$ of valuation
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues 63 (Retail)	(\$5,906)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$6,000 valuation added
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues per \$ 64 of Valuation (Retail)	(\$0.0009843)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$6,000 valuation added expressed per \$ of valuation
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues per \$ 65 (manufacturing)	(\$4,926)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$5,000 valuation added
Change in State Revenue Sharing Revenues per \$ 66 of Valuation (manufacturing)	(\$0.0009852)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$5,000 valuation added expressed per \$ of valuation
State GPA Base 67	\$3,685,481	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
Residential GPA PHH (Base) 68	\$6333	1998	1998 estimated households
Residential GPA Per Pupil (Base) 69	\$1,332	1998	1998 k-12 enrollments
Change in GPA (Single-Family) 70	\$26,334	1998	units with average value of \$180,000 and .784 students per HHD

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
71 Change in GPA PHH Residential (Single-Family)	\$1,317	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new sf units with average value of \$180,000 and .784 students per HH expressed per household
72 Change in GPA Per Pupil Residential (Single-Family)	\$1,679	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new sf units with average value of \$180,000 and .784 students per HH expressed per pupil
73 Change in GPA (Condo)	(\$18,226)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new condo units with average value of \$150,000 and .046 students per HHD
74 Change in GPA PHH Residential (Condo)	(\$911)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new condo units with average value of \$150,000 and .046 students per HHD expressed per household
75 Change in GPA Per Pupil Residential (Condo)	(\$19,811)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new condo units with average value of \$150,000 and .046 students per HHD expressed per pupil
76 Change in GPA (Apartment)	\$18,462	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new apartment units with average value of \$33,000 and .346 students per HHD
77 Change in GPA PHH Residential (Apartment)	\$923	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new apartment units with average value of \$33,000 and .346 students per HHD expressed per HH
78 Change in GPA Per Pupil Residential (Apartment)	\$2,668	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new apartment units with average value of \$33,000 and .346 students per HHD expressed per pupil
79 Change in GPA (Open Space)	(\$12,88)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 30 acres of acquired open space with average value of \$267 per acre and no pupil impacts
80 Change in GPA Open Space Per Acre	(\$0.42933333)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 30 acres of acquired open space with average value of \$267 per acre and no pupil impacts expressed per acre
81 Change in GPA (Office)	(\$140,628)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$20,000,000 of valuation added and no pupil impacts
82 Change in GPA per \$ of Valuation (Office)	(\$0.0070314)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$20,000,000 of valuation added and no pupil impacts expressed per \$ of valuation
83 Change in GPA (Retail)	(\$42,284)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$6,000,000 of valuation added and no pupil impacts
84 Change in GPA per \$ of Valuation (Retail)	(\$0.0070473)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$6,000,000 of valuation added and no pupil impacts expressed per \$ of valuation

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
85 Change in GPA (Manufacturing)	(\$34,917)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$5,000,000 of valuation added and no pupil impacts
86 Change in GPA per \$ of Valuation (Manufacturing)	(\$0,0069834)	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$5,000,000 of valuation added and no pupil impacts expressed per \$ of valuation
87 All Other Intergov (excl GPA and rev shar)	\$328,550	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total intergov revenues excluded GPA and rev shar and % residential factor
88 All Other Residential PHH (excl GPA and rev shar)	\$41	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total intergov revenues excluded GPA and rev shar. % residential factor. and 1998 households
89 All Other Intergov Open Space per acre (excl GPA and rev shar)	\$0,0099192	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total intergov revenues excluded GPA and rev shar. % open space factor and estimated total open space acreage
90 All Other Intergov Commercial per \$ of valuation (excl GPA and rev shar)	\$0,0003266	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 total intergov revenues excluded GPA and rev shar. % commercial factor and total commercial valuation
91 Municipal Operating Costs - Total incl. Tax Abatements (excludes County, Educ. Debt Service)	\$11,146,916	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
92 General Government Expenditures & Tax Abatements	\$3,283,258	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
93 Public Service Expenditures	\$1,844,690	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
94 Public Safety Expenditures	\$3,099,380	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
95 Public Works Expenditures	\$2,919,588	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
96 Public Works Expenditures (excluding solid waste)	\$2,089,220	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
97 General Government Expenditures & Tax Abate Residential - Per Household	\$433	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % residential factor, and 1998 households
98 General Government Expenditures & Tax Abate Open Space - Per Acre	\$0,2647108	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % open space factor, and 1998 estimated open space acreage

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
99	General Government Expenditures & Tax Abate commercial - Per \$ of value	\$0.0027527	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % commercial factor, and 1998 commercial valuation
100	Public Service Expenditures Residential - Per Household (single-family)	\$291	1998	1998 households
101	Public Service Expenditures Residential - Per Household (condo)	\$224	1998	1998 households & condo community service adjustment
102	Public Service Expenditures Residential - Per Household (apart)	\$235	1998	1998 households & apartment community service adjustment
103	Public Service Expenditures Open Space - per acre	\$0.6157315	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % open space factor, and 1998 estimated open space acreage
104	Public Service Expenditures commercial - per \$ of valuation	\$0.0005303	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % commercial factor, and 1998 commercial valuation
105	Public Safety Expenditures Residential - Per Household	\$408	1998	1998 households
106	Public Safety Expenditures Open Space - per acre	\$0.2512750	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % open space factor, and 1998 estimated open space acreage
107	Public Safety Expenditures commercial - per \$ of valuation	\$0.0026130	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % open space factor, and 1998 commercial valuation
108	Public Safety Expenditures office - per \$ of valuation	\$0.0026130	1998	1998 commercial valuation, and commercial type cost adjustment factor
109	Public Safety Expenditures retail - per \$ of valuation	\$0.0036581	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % commercial factor, and 1998 commercial valuation, and commercial type cost adjustment factor
110	Public Safety Expenditures manufacturing - per \$ of valuation	\$0.0015678	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % commercial factor, and 1998 commercial valuation, and commercial type cost adjustment factor
111	Public Works Residential - Per Household (Single-Family)	\$370	1998	1998 households
112	Public Works Residential - Per Household (condo & apart) excl. solid waste	\$228	1998	1998 development are private 1998 households, excludes solid waste based on assumption that roads which service

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
113 Public Works Open Space - Per Acre	\$0.0344089	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % open space factor, and 1998 estimated open space acreage
114 Public Works commercial - Per \$ of valuation	\$0.0027656	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % commercial factor, and 1998 commercial valuation
New Road Maintenance Cost Per Mile (summer + winter)	\$8,000	1999	From Public Works Director based on 150 miles of total roads
116 Municipal Debt Service Costs	\$2,468,135	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
Municipal Debt Service Costs Residential Per Household	\$325	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % residential factor, and 1998 households
118 Municipal Debt Service Costs open space Per Acre	\$0.20000983	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % open space factor, and 1998 estimated open space acreage
Municipal Debt Service Costs commercial Per \$ of valuation	\$0.0020808	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % commercial factor, and 1998 commercial valuation
120 Education Operating Costs	\$13,479,679	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports; excludes debt service calculated by Planning Decisions based on education operating expenditures & pupils for 1998; excludes debt service & capital expenditures
121 Education Operating Costs Per Pupil	\$4,873	1998	
122 School Debt Service Costs	\$2,818,163	1998	Town of Scarborough Annual Financial Reports
123 School Debt Service Costs Per Pupil	\$1,019	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions based on 1998 expenditures, % residential factor, and 1998 pupils
124 Increase in County Tax (single-family)	\$2,438	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new units with average value of \$180,000
125 Increase in County Tax (condo)	\$2,032	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new condo units with average value of \$150,000
126 Increase in County Tax (apartment)	\$447	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 20 new apartment units with average value of \$33,000

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
127 Increase in County Tax (open space)	\$5	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on 30 acres of added open space with average value of \$267 per acre
128 Increase in County Tax (office)	\$13,533	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$20,000,000 of valuation added
129 Increase in County Tax (retail)	\$4,063	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$6,000,000 of valuation added
130 Increase in County Tax (manufacturing)	\$3,386	1998	estimated by Planning Decisions from in-house formula worksheet based on \$5,000,000 of valuation added
131 SF Units Modeled	20	n/a	Variable chosen for cost benefit model based on typical new subdivision built since 1990
132 SF Pupils Modeled	15,68	n/a	based on 20 units at .784 students per unit based on facility study
133 SF Persons Modeled	64	n/a	based on 3.2 person per HH from NAHB 1990
134 SF Valuation Modeled	\$3,600,000	n/a	based on 20 units at average of \$180,000 per unit from assessor 1998 data
135 Condo Units Modeled	20	n/a	Variable chosen for cost benefit model based on typical condominium complex built since 1980
136 Condo Pupils Modeled	0.92	n/a	based on 20 units at .046 students per unit based on facility study
137 Condo Persons Modeled	40	n/a	based on 2.0 person per HH estimated by Planning Decisions from NAHB 1990 single-family attached & students from Scarborough Facility Study
138 Condo Valuation Modeled	\$3,000,000	n/a	based on 20 units at average of \$150,000 per unit from assessor 1998 data
139 Apartment Units Modeled	20	n/a	Variable chosen for cost benefit model based on typical apartment complex built since 1970 but reduced to 20 units to reflect condo and single-family model
140 Apartment Pupils Modeled	6.92	n/a	based on 20 units at .346 students per unit based on facility study

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
141	Apartment Persons Modeled	44	n/a	based on 2.2 person per HH estimated by Planning Decisions from 1997 American Housing Survey. Household size for renter occupied households
142	Apartment Valuation Modeled	\$660,000	n/a	based on 20 units at average of \$33,000 per unit from assessor 1998 data
143	Open Space Acreage Modeled	30	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample of Scarborough Land Trust Properties including Libby River Farm, Kirkwood Road, & Pleasant Hill Road
144	Open Space Value Modeled	\$8,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample of Scarborough Land Trust Properties including Libby River Farm, Kirkwood Road, & Pleasant Hill Road
145	Open Space Average Valuation per Acre	\$267	1998	based on Town Assessing data for Scarborough Land Trust Properties
146	Open Space Persons Modeled	0	n/a	based on land no being developed so no impact on persons
147	Open Space Pupils Modeled	0	n/a	based on land no being developed so no impact on pupils
148	Open Space Households Modeled	0	n/a	based on land no being developed so no impact on households
149	Commercial retail public safety cost factor	1.40	current	estimated by Planning Decisions based on interviews with public safety department heads
150	Commercial office public safety cost factor	1.00	current	estimated by Planning Decisions based on interviews with public safety department heads
151	Commercial manufacturing public safety cost factor	0.60	current	estimated by Planning Decisions based on interviews with public safety department heads
152	Office Commercial Valuation Modeled	\$20,000,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on Hanaford Bros Corporate headquarters
153	Office Commercial Lot Size Modeled	11	n/a	variable chosen for model based on Hanaford Bros Corporate headquarters
154	Office Commercial Building Square Feet Modeled	100,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on Hanaford Bros Corporate headquarters

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
155	Office Commercial # of Employees Modeled	800	n/a	variable chosen for model based on Hanaford Bros Corporate headquarters
156	Office Commercial # of Scarborough Resident Employees Modeled	120	n/a	variable chosen for model based on Hanaford Bros Corporate headquarters
157	Office Commercial % of Employees that are Scarborough Residents Modeled	15.00%	n/a	variable chosen for model based on Hanaford Bros Corporate headquarters
158	Office Direct number of households, persons, and enrollments added modeled	0	n/a	based on fact that impact on these variables will be indirect through new residential development
159	Workers per family household	1.9342	1990	U.S. Census
160	Office Commercial # of households added modeled	62	n/a	based on 120 employees each living in households with 1.9 workers
161	Office Commercial Number of K-12 pupils modeled	49	n/a	based on single-family model of .784 enrollments per household
162	Office Commercial Number of persons modeled	199	n/a	based on single family model of 3.2 persons per household
163	Retail Commercial Valuation Modeled	\$6,000,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample large retail
164	Retail Commercial Lot Size Modeled	12	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample big box retail
165	Retail Commercial Building Square Feet Modeled	75,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample big box retail
166	Retail Commercial # of Employees Modeled	175	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample big box retail
167	Retail Commercial # of Scarborough Resident Employees Modeled	17.5	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample big box retail
168	Retail Commercial % of Employees that are Scarborough Residents Modeled	10.00%	n/a	variable chosen for model based Planning Decisions estimate

## Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services

	Variable	Data	Year	Notes
169	Retail Direct number of households, persons, and enrollments added modeled	0	n/a	based on fact that impact on these variables will be indirect through new residential development
170	Retail Commercial # of households added modeled	9	n/a	based on 17.5 employees each living in households with 1.9 workers
171	Retail Commercial Number of K-12 pupils modeled	7	n/a	based on single-family model of .784 enrollments per household
172	Retail Commercial Number of persons modeled	29	n/a	based on single family model of 3.2 persons per household
173	Manufacturing Commercial Valuation Modeled	\$5,000,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample manufacturing
174	Manufacturing Commercial Lot Size Modeled	7	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample manufacturing
175	Manufacturing Commercial Building Square Feet Modeled	55,000	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample manufacturing
176	Manufacturing Commercial # of Employees Modeled	125	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample manufacturing
177	Manufacturing Commercial # of Scarborough Resident Employees Modeled	13	n/a	variable chosen for model based on sample manufacturing
178	Manufacturing Commercial % of Employees that are Scarborough Residents Modeled	10.00%	n/a	variable chosen for model based Planning Decisions estimate
179	Manufacturing Direct number of households, persons, and enrollments added modeled	0	n/a	based on fact that impact on these variables will be indirect through new residential development
180	Manufacturing Commercial # of households added modeled	6	n/a	based on 13 employees each living in a household with 1.9 workers
181	Manufacturing Commercial Number of K-12 pupils modeled	5	n/a	based on single-family model of .784 enrollments per household
182	Manufacturing Commercial Number of persons modeled	21	n/a	based on single family model of 3.2 persons per household

## **Municipal & School Variables For Cost/Benefit Analysis - Scarborough Growth & Services**

Variable	Data	Year	Notes
Secondary Per Household Annual Net Fiscal Impact from Development (Commercial) 183	(\$1,194)	n/a	estimated by Planning Decisions based on Single-family fiscal impact model